

Dr. Albert C. Hall  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Intelligence)  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Al:

Your letter of 8 April asks for my views concerning two suggestions for increasing CIA participation in the Community On-Line Intelligence System (COINS).

One of the suggestions is to assign two full-time CIA representatives to the COINS Project Management Office, one for NPIC and the other for the CIA Headquarters elements. The Agency's review of this matter shows that the present arrangements and points of contact are adequate to meet the needs of CIA analysts in both locations at this time. This situation may change when the upgrading of COINS is more advanced and begins to have more impact on CIA activities. (At such time as CIA analysts make greater use of the COINS data base or a substantial increase in such use is anticipated we will be pleased to reconsider this question.)

The other suggestion is that we consider the possibility of using a computer at CIA for providing real-time access to CIA Headquarters files. The effort involved in providing better community access to CIA files must be justified and shaped by a thoroughly developed concept of what files CIA can contribute to COINS in the future and the additional benefits and costs involved in real-time access to CIA-sponsored COINS files. Although CIA is not yet in a position to make a decision on this matter, I can assure you that we are considering this suggestion.

*(2 Page source on orig)*

(1) At such time as <sup>CIA</sup>our analysts make greater use of the COINS data base or we ~~anticipate~~ a substantial increase in such use <sup>is anticipated</sup> we will be pleased to reconsider this question.

Dr. Albert C. Hall  
Assistant Secretary of Defense  
(Intelligence)  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Al:

Your letter of 8 April asks for my views concerning two suggestions for increasing CIA participation in the Community On-Line Intelligence System (COINS).

One of the suggestions is to assign two full-time CIA representatives to the COINS Project Management Office, one for NPIC and the other for the CIA Headquarters elements. Our review of this matter shows that the present arrangements and points of contact are clearly adequate to meet the needs of our analysts in both locations at this time. This situation may change when the upgrading of COINS is more advanced and begins to have more impact on our activities. <sup>The Agency</sup> Until <sup>we</sup> our analysts at Headquarters and at NPIC make greater use of the COINS data base or we anticipate a substantial increase in such use, I see no need for assigning full-time CIA representatives to the COINS Project Management Office.)

The other suggestion is that we consider the possibility of using a computer at CIA for providing real-time access to CIA Headquarters files. (Although <sup>CIA</sup> we are not yet in a position to make a decision on this matter, I can assure you that we are considering this suggestion.) Obviously, the effort involved in providing better community access to CIA files must be justified and shaped by a thoroughly developed concept of what files CIA can contribute to COINS in the future and the additional benefits and costs involved in real-time access to CIA-sponsored COINS files. ✓

*Proposed DDI reply*

We have begun to take steps to get the information we need to make decisions about new COINS hardware at CIA. The CIA Subsystem Manager for COINS is conducting a survey of Agency components that will provide information not only about the present utility of COINS to CIA production analysts but also about any Agency plans for data bases that might usefully be made available to community analysts via COINS. The upcoming COINS workshops to be run by the [redacted] should also provide new insight into how CIA participation in COINS can be made more effective.

25X1A

Sincerely,

W. E. Colby  
Director

**Page Denied**

**INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF**

Re: Status Rpt on COINS

30 Apr 75

Note to Files

NCF telecon with [ ] to ask  
status of Hall to Colby COINS ltr.

STATINTL

Paul says he will coordinate with us before anything is finalized. He is quite a ways away from that stage however. He is taking following actions:

- He is querying substantive analyst offices re their projected interests in a future COINS.

- He is dialoging with [ ] OJCS on possible technical implications of a change in hardware support.

STATINTL

- Besides the Hall-Colby ltr, he has a ltr to himself from [ ] on a related problem (NCF needs to find this and add it to this file.)

STATINTL

- He wrote a general review of CIA and COINS, Jan-Mar 75. (We need to find that and add to this file.)

I suggested that time may be right to think about a dedicated community computer, handling COINS plus other community-oriented things. The possibilities include, - CIRIS, any automation of KI/Qs-KEEP, automated support for IC Staff (e.g., [ ] IHC Inventory, CAMS, etc. etc. (I didn't name all these to [ ] but some may be possibilities. )

25X1

(over)

**INFORMATION**

STATINTL

I also suggested to [ ] that he consult with Bob Hooper, Project SAFE, to see if the latter saw any implications related to SAFE.

STATINTL

I also suggested Paul use [ ] in Iams office as a means of keeping Iams informed and getting Iams' feed-back, so that Paul does not prepare a draft proposal that turns out to be largely contrary to Iams views.

STATINTL

I also suggested Paul talk with [ ] to get an opinion from him. I said that [ ] had long community experience

25X1

STATINTL  
STATINTL

STATINTL

I also suggested that Paul contact [ ] in ORD and see whether any ORD type of analytical methodologies development work, or anything else, was enough community-common so that that work might be a candidate for being kept ~~in~~ on a "community" host computer.

STATINTL

All of the foregoing are brainstorming ideas. Paul is likely to follow them all out in his explorations because he is thorough and conscientious.

He will keep in touch with us, and I have no doubt that we will be in the pattern at the time Paul has put together something in draft for review.

STATINTL

SUBJECT: CIA Computers for COINS  
TELECON with [REDACTED]

STATINTL

1. Paul tells me that he has the action for DDI on Hall's 8 April letter to Colby re COINS.

2. I said that Paul should keep in touch with either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and we would help him work out a possible draft response. I suggested he also consult [REDACTED] since Chuck is not only the IHC member for CIA but he happens to be in the CIA Comptroller Office.

STATINTL

STATINTL

3. If Hall's letter has a fiscal implication for CIA it should be considered in the FY 77 program planning exercise now underway.

4. Finally I suggested to Paul that we all work with a possible response to Hall and possibly try it on [REDACTED] and the ASD(I) staff to get their reaction before we lock in Ed Proctor on an Agency position. The ball is in Paul's court. [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

Original - COINS  
1 - IHC READING


**INFORMATION**



INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

4/14

STATINTL

Memo for 

Ref Al Hall ltr to DCI re COINS.

I visited Ed Proctor today and he agreed to let us have a look at the DDI reply.

We will stay in touch with his office as appropriate to catch it as it goes.

Proctor did not know the status of the action within DDI, so we will do a bit more spooking to find out who has it, and try to work at that level before it goes to him.



STATINTL

INFORMATION

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

15 July 1975

Nate:

I think these small changes in   
letter take the sting out. If the letter goes  
as originally written( or almost) I think it  
becomes CIA matter and we should transfer it  
back to them to answer and we coordinate. In  
~~any~~ <sup>any</sup> case we should do something soon or lose it  
Its three months old now.

STATINTL

H.

**ACTION**

Approved For Release 2006/10/17 : CIA-RDP79M00097A0003000100-2  
**INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF**

*Working Paper  
&  
Duplicate*

**INFORMATION**

Approved For Release 2006/10/17 : CIA-RDP79M00097A0003000100-2